



**Radiological protection of the environment – training course
CEH Lancaster 27th-29th June 2012**

FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

Average scores from the 10 participants who completed the questionnaire are shown in red

For each question below, please circle the answer which most accurately reflects your view.

Content

1. How clear were the objectives of the course?	Unclear 1 2 3 4 5 Very clear 4.6
2. How well structured was the course? <i>(Was the introduction clear, did it progress logically)</i>	Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Well 4.5
3. How relevant was the course content?	Irrelevant 1 2 3 4 5 Relevant 4.3
4. How did you find the amount of material covered?	Too much 1 2 3 4 5 To little 3.4
5. How difficult did you find the material covered?	Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 Easy 3.3
6. How interesting did you find the material covered?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting 4.6

Practical

7. How did you find the practical exercises?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting 4.6
8. Did the exercises help you understand the material presented in the course?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.9
9. Were you given sufficient guidance to enable you to carry out the exercises?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.5
10. Was the balance between presentations and practical exercises correct?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.6

Facilities

11. How suitable were the computing facilities?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 4.4
12. How suitable was the lecture room?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 4.6
13. Were meals provided acceptable?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.3

Summary

14. Did the course fulfil your expectations? <i>If not, please state why in the box below</i>	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.7
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------

If you would like to make any additional comments, please use the box below.
Leave your name if you require any feedback.

See below for collation of comments received

Continue over if required...



Radiological protection of the environment – training course **CEH Lancaster 27th-29th June 2012**

Additional comments from course participants:

- 1) Provide ref documents for acronyms used would be useful addition. Don't assume any "prior" understanding. Don't rush basics. Review extraneous information e.g. chronological backgrounds to current systems used.
- 2) Could have possibly have had more dosimetry exercises to clarify the equations outside of ERICA. Possibly a slightly slower pace - but I think the content is right so wouldn't want to leave any out. Could healthy snacks be provided?
- 3) Would have liked to not have shared computer facilities during practicals - maximising input into course. Screen shots of ERICA during demos of model may have been useful to aid note taking.
- 4) It would have been helpful to have screen prints of ERICA relevant to the ERICA presentations, so that we could annotate them with comments rather than adding them to the presentation slides handouts, which might not mean so much when we get back to the office. Great course mostly. Enjoyable and thanks.
- 5) Pitched at correct level for attendees of course, however speed of presentations in some cases could be slower. Enjoyed practicals and they were tailored well to people on course.
- 6) Benchmark practical's questions sheet to 95th percentile (from 5th percentile). Learned a lot more about ERICA's capabilities, I would have had difficulties learning on my own. There was a great deal of material to absorb and practicals were very useful. Questions were well answered - I'm sure I'll have more when I get into using it at home. Some of the handouts have lost points due to the animation, but if you're paying attention you can make the notes.
- 7) Perhaps too short!
- 8) No comments
- 9) No comments
- 10) No comments