



**Radiological protection of the environment – training course**  
**CEH Lancaster 22<sup>th</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> July 2019**

**FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE**

For each question below, please circle the answer which most accurately reflects your view.

**Summarised answers in red**

**Content**

1. How clear were the objectives of the course?	Unclear 1 2 3 4 5 Very clear <b>4.5</b>
2. How well structured was the course? <i>(Was the introduction clear, did it progress logically)</i>	Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Well <b>4.5</b>
3. How relevant was the course content?	Irrelevant 1 2 3 4 5 Relevant <b>4.5</b>
4. How did you find the amount of material covered?	Too much 1 2 3 4 5 Too little <b>2.9</b>
5. How difficult did you find the material covered?	Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 Easy <b>3.4</b>
6. How interesting did you find the material covered?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting <b>4.5</b>

**Practical Exercises**

7. How did you find the practical exercises?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting <b>4.5</b>
8. Did the exercises help you understand the material presented in the course?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.5</b>
9. Were you given sufficient guidance to enable you to carry out the exercises?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.4</b>
10. Was the balance between presentations and practical exercises correct?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.7</b>

**Tutors**

11. Tutors knowledge on topics?	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>4.9</b>
12. Tutors teaching skills?	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>4.7</b>

**Facilities**

13. How suitable were the computing facilities?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable <b>4.5</b>
14. How suitable was the lecture room?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable <b>4.5</b>
15. Were meals provided acceptable?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.4</b>

**Summary**

16. Overall, how would you rate this course	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>4.5</b>
17. Did the course fulfil your expectations? <i>If not, please state why in the box overleaf</i>	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.6</b>

Continued overleaf.....



## Radiological protection of the environment – training course CEH Lancaster 22<sup>th</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> July 2019

If you would like to make any additional comments, please use the box below

### Summary of comments

- Thank you for a well presented and interesting course.
- Fab!
- Excellent course with knowledgeable and helpful teachers. Great inspiration for someone wanting to get into the field. Thanks!
- Perhaps printed out reference for CR, Kd, RQ, EMCL etc. as a quick reference throughout the course as I often forgot and so missed understanding the next stage. Course was too detailed for my level of understanding and although concepts were explained there was too much to keep track of. However, I understand many users need this level of detail and in future once I have consolidated dose assessments in general this would be the right level.
- I found the course difficult having been from an administration background. The tutors were very informative and have a wealth of knowledge.
- Well-structured course and great review of the ERICA assessment. There are many examples of how to use the ERICA Tool, but more review of real life applications would be useful (*e.g.* more examples of the instructors or other researchers/regulators use of ERICA (*such as* Elk study, nuclear sites etc..) *showing* situations of when the tool was used, what they did and why)). Great course fulfilled expectations.
- Booklets containing slides would have helped to make notes and to refer back to for refreshments each day.
- Solid amount of information; could spread over another day but everything else was on point!
- Bit quick over some fundamental points: RQ's DCCs, EMCLs. The first slide with objectives for the session should be repeated at end with summarised answers/main points.