



**Radiological protection of the environment – training course**  
**Magnox 16<sup>th</sup> - 18<sup>th</sup> July 2019**

**FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE**

For each question below, please circle the answer which most accurately reflects your view

**Summary scores in red**

**Content**

1. How clear were the objectives of the course?	Unclear 1 2 3 4 5 Very clear <b>4.2</b>
2. How well structured was the course? <i>(Was the introduction clear, did it progress logically)</i>	Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Well <b>4.2</b>
3. How relevant was the course content?	Irrelevant 1 2 3 4 5 Relevant <b>4.5</b>
4. How did you find the amount of material covered?	Too much 1 2 3 4 5 To little <b>3.4</b>
5. How difficult did you find the material covered?	Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 Easy <b>3.1</b>
6. How interesting did you find the material covered?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting <b>4.2</b>

**Practical Exercises**

7. How did you find the practical exercises?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting <b>4.3</b>
8. Did the exercises help you understand the material presented in the course?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.9</b>
9. Were you given sufficient guidance to enable you to carry out the exercises?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.8</b>
10. Was the balance between presentations and practical exercises correct?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.9</b>

**Tutors**

11. Tutors knowledge on topics?	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>5.0</b>
12. Tutors teaching skills?	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>4.8</b>

**Facilities**

13. How suitable were the computing facilities?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable <b>3.3</b>
14. How suitable was the lecture room?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable <b>4.0</b>
15. Were meals provided acceptable?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>5.0</b>

**Summary**

16. Overall, how would you rate this course	Poor 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent <b>4.5</b>
17. Did the course fulfil your expectations? <i>If not, please state why in the box overleaf</i>	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes <b>4.5</b>

Continued overleaf.....



## Radiological protection of the environment – training course Magnox 16<sup>th</sup> - 18<sup>th</sup> July 2019

If you would like to make any additional comments, please use the box below

### Summary of additional comments:

1. This has given me confidence in how to produce these assessments for my company and the specific examples and content relevant to my company has provided me with an in-depth understanding. Thank you!
2. Very well presented and taught course. Tutors are very knowledgeable and friendly.
3. Having been on a lot of training over the last few years I am extremely impressed by the tutors knowledge, approachability, enthusiasm, and teaching ability. Often training is delivered by experts with no ability to engage with or adapt to their target audience. Overall I felt like I came away understanding some content I had previously struggled with. Thank you for the time and effort given to developing this course; it is appreciated.
4. Practical exercises were very useful and relevant – thank you for producing specific exercises related to problems *my company* will face/already face. The teachers were very supportive, knowledgeable and helpful throughout the course. Concepts behind the model were well explained and provided useful knowledge into assumptions made at each tier and step of the assessment. Thank you.
5. My feedback relates to the first half of the course (I did not attend the second half). I found the course both interesting and relevant (not often do you get training by three professors). The tutors were clearly extremely knowledgeable and worked well together, sharing their passion for the subject. I feel like I have achieved my desired outcome: to be able to understand and critique such dose assessments (rather than be a practitioner of the ERICA Tool software). (*Note: unfortunately these issues were out of CEH control: In terms of facilities, I found the room temperature rather too cold and there were teething problems getting software to run on the network which slowed down the start of the course and meant people shared PC's which is not ideal for hands-on learning as only one set of hands can be used at time!*). A minor point re practical paperwork the boxes to write the answers in are too small which made it difficult to read/identify trends/changes at a glance. Thank you for a very enjoyable and informative course.
6. Having all three sets of data (P09) in the same table would have helped me understand the process required and method used/to be used.