

Radiological protection of the environment – training course CEH Lancaster 12th-14th October 2011

FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

Average scores from the 19 participants who completed the questionnaire are shown in red For each question below, please circle the answer which most accurately reflects your view.

Content

1.	How clear were the objectives of the course?	Unclear 1 2 3 4 5 Very clear 4.2
2.	How well structured was the course?	Poorly 1 2 3 4 5 Well 4.5
	(Was the introduction clear, did it progress logically)	
3.	How relevant was the course content?	Irrelevant 1 2 3 4 5 Relevant 4.6
4.	How did you find the amount of material covered?	Too much 1 2 3 4 5 To little 3.1
5.	How difficult did you find the material covered?	Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 Easy 3.4
6.	How interesting did you find the material covered?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting
		4.5

Practical

7. How did you find the practical exercises?	Not interesting 1 2 3 4 5 Interesting 4.5
8. Did the exercises help you understand the material presented in the course?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.7
9. Were you given sufficient guidance to enable you to carry out the exercises?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.4
10. Was the balance between presentations and practical exercises correct?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.6

Facilities

11. How suitable were the computing facilities?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 4.3
12. How suitable was the lecture room?	Not suitable 1 2 3 4 5 Suitable 3.9
13. Were meals provided acceptable?	No 1 2 3 4 5 Yes 4.4

Summary

14. Did the course fulfil your expectations?	No	1	2	3	4	5	Yes 4.4
If not, please state why in the box below							

If you would like to make any additional comments, please use the box below. Leave your name if you require any feedback.

See below for collation of comments received

Continue over if required...





Radiological protection of the environment – training course CEH Lancaster 12th-14th October 2011

Additional comments from course participants:

- 1) Prior to the course, I had expected a more theoretical course on how radiation enters and moves within the environment rather than a technical course on how to use ERICA. However, I did find the course interesting and useful in helping the relevance of environmental modelling generally and how it is used within the industry regulation and scientific community. I think Tom Hinton's intro to radiation would have been better at the beginning of the course as a 'scene setter' and I feel that a more structured time table would have been useful as we always seemed to be behind and therefore some sessions more rushed. Overall though, a god course and an interesting subject and well presented, throughout.
- 2) No comments
- 3) No comments
- 4) No comments
- 5) No comments
- 6) No comments
- 7) No comments
- 8) Thanks for everything.
- 9) No comments
- 10) I would have liked more time on the practicals.
- 11) No comments
- 12) I really enjoyed the course and I have learned a lot which I will be able to use as part of my job. The practical exercises were excellent and they have greatly increased my knowledge and how the tool works. The course has really encouraged me to think about the data that I choose and the impact that has on the assessment result which is invaluable.
- 13) No comments
- 14) No comments
- 15) No comments
- 16) The course fulfilled expectations. The objectives which I will have to improve upon interpretation of biological effects relating to Tier 3 (species, sensitivity, distribution).
- 17) No comments
- 18) No comments
- 19) No comments